UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,410	01/28/2008	Andreas Greiner	12007-0052	2958	
22902 CLARK & BRO	7590 03/16/201 <sup>1</sup> ODY	EXAMINER			
1090 VERMON	NT AVENUE, NW	MELLON, DAVID C			
SUITE 250 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2010	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		А	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		1	10/539,410		GREINER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit				
		D	DAVID C. MI	ELLON	1797				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)□ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	d on							
·		b)⊠ This ac	rtion is non	-final					
/—		•			secution as to the	merits is			
-	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
O.C.	and an accordance with the practic	o andor Ex p	sarro Quay	,o, 1000 o.b. 11, 10	0 0.0. 210.				
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cl	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) <u></u> Cl	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Cl	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.								
7) <u></u> Cl	aim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□ Cl	aim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or el	lection req	uirement.					
Application Papers									
9)⊠ The	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
•			accepted	or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.				
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2005</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
						FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ■■  3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20081008</li> </ul>			5 6	Paper No(s)/Mail Da    Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because figure 1 is dark and obscures the ability to see the reference numerals and details within the darkened zone. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

Art Unit: 1797

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

 On pages 6 and 7 of the instant specification, there is reference made to the instant claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said at least one polymer film" in the last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This is inconsistent because it is unclear whether Applicant intends to refer back to the "non-porous polymer film" or to a different, additional polymer film.

Regarding claim 1, "impenetrate" renders the claim indefinite because it is unknown how a pore impenetrates a material.

Regarding claim 1, the use of the pronoun "their" renders the claim indefinite.

Applicant should use language and wording such as "from a mixture of high and low molecular substances". However, it is further note that Applicant should use "molecular weight substances" since molecular substances imparts no particular meaning.

Regarding claims 2-3, the claims are rendered indefinite because they appear to recite an optional limitation. However, the claims do not set forth any steps which are to be completed in the case that the optional limitation is not relevant. Accordingly, the claims are rendered indefinite in the specific case that the amorphous region has the same Tg as the rest of the film.

Regarding claims 1-25, these claims provide for the a method of separation, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. Further regarding these claims, they fail to set forth any specific method steps.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps include <u>but are not limited</u> to: providing a material to be treated, passing the material through the membrane, heating the membrane, providing the membrane.

Regarding claims 26-29, these claims provide for the use of a separation media, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Art Unit: 1797

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

#### Conclusion

- 8. In view of the serious nature of the deficiencies of the claims in view of Statute 112, 2nd Paragraph, the claims will not be further treated on their merits at this time.
- Applicant is advised that several references from the submitted IDS are considered particularly relevant to the invention as disclosed including WO 01/60771, WO 03/064015, and GB 952,102.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID C. MELLON whose telephone number is (571)270-7074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9:00am-5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571) 272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tony G Soohoo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/D. C. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1797